



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/636,017	08/07/2003	Robert A. Holton	FSUM 10245.2	1010
321	7590 04/20/2005		EXAM	INER
	POWERS LEAVITT	SHIPPEN, M	SHIPPEN, MICHAEL L	
ONE METRO 16TH FLOO	OPOLITAN SQUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST LOUIS,			1621	
·	t	• .		

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/636,017	HOLTON ET AL.	:			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		MICHAEL L. SHIPPEN	·				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover shee	nt with the correspondence addres	is			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	06 August 2004.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2-10 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected or be drawing(s) be held in ab orrection is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date 04/29/04.	B) Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 	²⁾ RD			

Application/Control Number: 10/636,017

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 1121

Claims 3-10 are rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph. The subgeneric concepts of these claims lack description in the specification as filed. The reaction scheme on pages 16 and 17 is noted but not seen to support these new subgenera. It is particularly noted that the reaction scheme shows one specific species for each reaction step. It is not seen that each species amount to the same thing as the now claimed subgenera

Double Patenting

Claims 2-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,620,950 for reasons of record. The fact that a restriction was required in the parent application does not avoid the rejection. First, applicants did not limit the claim to the elected invention in the parent application. Second, after applicants traversed the restriction requirement in the parent application, the examiner allowed the claim including the nonelected subject matter. In effect, the restriction requirement was rendered moot by the examiner's allowance of the all the claimed subject matter. The fact that the instant

¹ The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 112 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1621

application was filed before the parent application issued as a patent is not material to the issue at hand. However, it is noted the notice of allowance was issued April 29, 2003 and applicants paid the issue fee July 1, 2003 in the parent application. Both dates are well before applicants filed the instant application on August 7, 2003. That is, at the time applicants filed the instant application they were well aware of the claimed subject matter that was to issue as the patent.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Michael L. Shippen** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-0647**. The Examiner's normal tour of duty is 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1600**. The official group FAX machine number is **571-273-8300**.

MShippen April 18, 2005

MICHAEL L. SHIPPEN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1621

Page 3